

Understanding Metaphysical Principle of Gāmitva in Pramehacikitsā in the view of Rachanā and KriyāŚarīra

Kshirsagar M.V¹. MehtaTarak*²

- 1. Professor & HOD,
- 2. Assistant Professor,

Department of Rachana Sharir, Smt. K.G.M.P. Ayurvedic College, N.S. Road, Charni Road,

*Corresponding Author: drtarakmehta@gmail.com

Abstract:

Prameha has been a global problem. It is very well described in the Ayurvedic treaties which appears to be highly contemporary. In the present work, the authors have tried to focus on the narrations on prame has in Ayurvedic literature, especially pertaining to the metaphysical and abstract idea of dosa, dhātu mala, srotasas and gāmitva, which evolved from the concept of Pancabhautika source of the universe and the channels responsible for its transportation. The keys to the elucidate pharmacological, pathological therapeutical factors in the treatment of diseases is based on the aforesaid The principles. aetiopathogenesis prameha as described by the Ayurvedic authors with a glance to the therapeutic measures is also included in the study. The

design of a human body is fixed and certain similarities exists in between human body and that of a plant - dravyasused in the treatment of a diseases. A human or a plant body - dravyas have constituents like, doṣa, dhātu malaand srotasas. Based on the concept of sāmānyaandviṣeśa, these constituents have affinity towards each other. Pramehais a disease of kaphavaha, kledavaha, māmsavaha, medavaha, srotasas including mūtravahasamsthāna in its entirety.

Key words:

Gāmitva, prameha, srotasa, mūtra, basti, kleda, meda, dūsya.

Introduction:

This is the century of life style disorders and among them *prameha* is most predominant. India is becoming the capital of this disease. WHO and whole world is looking with great expectation



towards $\bar{A}yurved$ for its control and management^[1]. Most of such disorders have prevention potential but they are difficult to cure. Detailed knowledge of human body is conducive to the well-being of the individual. Understanding the factors that constitute the body provides knowledge regarding the factors which are responsible for its wellbeing. It is because of this that experts extol the knowledge of the details of the body ^[2].

In the initial verses of the chapter on the 'diagnosis of prameha' (obstinate urinary disorder including Diabetes mellitus), cakrapānī in its tikā explains that, the etiological factors having properties homologous with dhatu, leads to the manifestation or aggravation of the Etiological factors, disease. always possess properties related to doshas; otherwise they will cease to be called etiological factors because in that case no disease will be caused at all [3]. Pramehais mainly caused due to vitiation of all the three dosa, but still it is predominantly caused due to kaphadoşa. Out of the ten elements enumerated as dūsya in prameha, meda, māmsadhatu, and kleda (body fluids) get invariably vitiated in all types of prameha. Remaining elements like majjāetc., do not invariably get vitiated in all types of *prameha* or they get vitiated only slightly^{[4].}

Racanātmaka consideration:

Word srotasa when analysed, means a flow, hence flow of a particular dhātupadārtha at one level should be of considered that as srotas dhātupadārtha^{[5].} There is a much functional diversity in the system of srotas in the body as there is elemental diversity in the structural composition of various organs/tissues of the body. Therefore, the *srotas as* are known by different names. As per the resemblance, nādi, dhamani and sirās are considered as synonyms of srotas, the difference being only functional^[6]. It may be provisionally stated that in general, srotas form a structure consisting of channels as well as openings which are always moving and are carrying dhātus and malas [7]. The $d\bar{u}sy$ as needs to be excreted by channels responsible for the excretion of kleda which may be called kledavahasrotasa the (passages designed for the flow of feculent materials). As per Carakācārya, sites of origin of *mūtravahasrotasa* includes bladder and vankṣaṇa (kidneys) [8]. Here the term *mūtravahasrotasa* appears to include two different sets of structures:



(1) Structures concerned with the passage of formed urine like ureters and the urethra, (2) the second set refers to innumerable constituent functioning units in the kidney, i.e. nephrons which filter the urine as is more clearly described by Vāgbhatācārya who says that there are numerous mūtravahanādis having innumerable tiny openings through which urine is passed (filtered) into the vasti. The terms, dhamanis, sirās and nādis have been generally used to describe the renal arteries, veins and nerves respectively^[9]. Vāgbhaṭācārya in *Astāgasamgraha*has stated rasanemdriya, svedakleda, vasā, rakta, *śukra, mutra,* etc. *Śithila* (loose tissues) and sneha bhava are fluid like in nature ialiyabhav born from and āpamahābhūta^[10]. So. these padārthasafter getting metabolised will be excreted by mūtravahasrotas. According to Carakācārya, nutrient substances that support the body are subjected to *pāka*again, being acted upon by dhātvāgnis, giving rise, in the process, to two kinds of substances viz., kittaandprasāda^[11]. While enumerating *dūşyas*ofall *dosas*and types pramehaCarakācāryahas again stated, "tridosas and *dūşyas*like medas, rakta, śukraambu (body fluid),

vasā(muscle fat), lasikā (lymph), majjā, rasa, ojasand māmsaare responsible for the causation of pramehawhich is of twenty types" [12].

Kriyātmaka consideration:

Basti (mūtrāśaya) is situated in the midst of the umbilicus, back, waist, scrotum, rectum, groin and penis; has (only) and orifice thin skin (layers/covering), placed with its face downwards; basti (bladder), bastiśirah (head of the bladder), paurusam (penis), vṛṣaṇau (testicles) and guda(rectum) are inter related (connected) and situated inside the cavity of the pelvis, pelvic bone and rectum; it is shaped like alābū (pitcher gourd) and supported by sirā (veins/blood vessels) and snāvu (ligaments).

This mūtrāśaya (urinary bladder) is the receptacle of mala (waste products) and an important seat of life; nādis (tubes/ducts) known as mūtravahā (ducts of urine) present in the pakvāśaya (large intestine) satisfy the bladder (filling it) by bringing urine always (continuously) just like the rivers (satisfying) the ocean; the mouths (openings) of these thousands of ducts are not visible because of their minuteness; these carry urine from the



interior of $\bar{a}m\bar{a}\dot{s}aya$ (stomach and small intestine), fill the bladder by oozing through its wall, both during waking and sleeping states (throughout day and night continuously). Just as a fresh earthen pot kept immersed in water up to its mouth (neck) gets filled with water through its sides, in the same way, it should be understood, that the bladder also gets filled with urine^[13].

From the aforesaid description it is deduced that the food after digestion, in the āmāśayaand pakvāśayais divided in two parts (i) prasādabhāga, i.e. useful portion and (2) kittabhāga, i.e. excreted portion. This act of separation takes place at the *undūka* (ileocecal junction). Then the liquid portion of the *kittabhāga* of digested food (kleda) circulating with the general udaka pool, i.e. water and electrolyte system of the body, passes to the vastias mūtraor urine after being filtered through innumerable channels, the nephrons. Thus the formation of urine starts just along the digestion of food and passes through three stages (i) udaka (general water pool), (ii) kleda (metabolites added to the water pool), (iii) *mūtra* (real urine after filtration) [14].

Aetiology of Prameha:

Due to the simultaneous vitiation of all the three dosas twenty types of pramehaas also innumerable other diseases are manifested. Thus, vitiation of all the three dosasis the raison d'etre for the manifestation of all types of prameha [15]. Etiological factors, always possess properties homologous to dosas; otherwise they will cease to be called etiological factors because in that case no disease will be caused at all [16]. Carakācārya in nidānasthāna and cikitsāsthāna, has further elaborated prameha aetiology. He says, "as the birds are attracted towards the trees where lies their nests, similarly, prameha affects people who are voracious eaters and have aversion to bath and physical exercises^[17] (Agniveśa, Caraka Samhitā, 1994. nidānasthāna 4/51-52). pp. Addiction to the pleasure of sedentary habits, sleep, curds, soup of meat of domesticated and aquatic animals and animals inhabiting marshy land, milk preparations, freshly harvested food articles. freshly prepared alcoholic drinks, preparations of jiggery and all kapha aggravating factors are responsible causation of for the prameha^[18].

Thus, aggravated *kleda* (sticky or liquid elements in the body), *medas*



(adipose tissue) and *kapha*are responsible the causation for of prameha^[19]. All these are seen to be jaliyabhavs, so they too utilises the same channels. the kledavaha (passages designed for the flow of fluids) and kaphavahasrotasa.

Pathogenesis of Prameha:

Carakācārya, in nidānasthāna and cikitsāsthāna has beautifully described the pathogenesis of Prameha, which makes a Vaidya capable to envisage the changes leading to the disease. In cikitsāsthāna he states that, "kaphahaving vitiated medas, māmsaand kleda (liquid matrix) of the body located in basti (urinary tract), causes different of meha. Similarly, types pitta aggravated by hot things vitiates those elements and causes different types of meha. When other two dosas are in a relatively diminished state, the aggravated vāyudraws tissue elements, viz. ojas, majjā and lasikā into the urinary tract and vitiates them to cause the third category of prameha. Different doṣas having entered the basti (urinary tract) in vitiated conditions give rise to the respective categories of $meha^{[20]}$.

 $Cakrap\bar{a}n\bar{i}$'s explanatory note in the foregoing are of good value. He

observed: 'by implication i.e., as a consequence pitta and kapha are not diminished in relation to their own state of equilibrium, but only in relation to the aggravated vāyu. The statement in the above verse implies that if vāyu is aggravated after the gradually aggravation of pitta and kapha, then the prameha caused thereby does not belong to the above mentioned (third) category which is described as incurable and of four types. On the other hand, this type of *prameha* (caused by gradually aggravated *vāyu* after the aggravation of pitta and kapha) is curable^[21]. Thus, vāticprameha can occur in two ways, in one type *vāyu*is primarily (i.e. right at the time of origin of disease) aggravated and is incurable. In the other type $v\bar{a}yu$ is secondarily aggravated. It is generally specified as vātolvaņaprameha and it is curable^{[22].}

Pramehaandsrotogāmitva:

From the aforesaid remarks about the anatomical and physiological consideration and the causative factors it understood that in prameha. māṁsavaha, kledavaha medovaha, (passages designed for the flow of fluids) kaphavahasrotasa, along with basti which includes the entire system



required for the production of urine $(m\bar{u}trajananasamsasth\bar{a})$ and the channel utilised for the excretion of urine $(m\bar{u}tramala)$ and $mutramal\bar{a}yana$ (the reservoir of excretory product – the urine) are certainly vitiated [23].

Homologous nature of the etiological factors in prameha. concerned with vitiation the production of elements that are having excessive fluidity (dravaprādhānyatā), leads to the difficulty in its excretion. The modalities of treatments for prameha should take into consideration (a) the homologous nature of these factors and (b) the gāmitvaapproachability of drugs upto mūtravahasansthāna. It should lead in the development of congenial atmosphere in medovaha, māmsavaha, kledavaha (passages designed for the flow of fluids) kaphavahasrotasa, basti, mūtrajananasamsasthā and mutramalāyana for excretion of the feculent materials. A treatment cannot be designed without taking into consideration the organs involved in the pathogenesis of a disease. Each and every disease develops in some or the other malāyana, thereby vitiating that area or organs in that area. Naturally, this leads to impairment in the quality of the organs leading to reduction in its functioning abilities.

The anatomy of human and plant body is fixed and established. As there are various parts of human body likewise plant's torso consists of cells, tissues, organs, systems etc. which should be recognized. There exist similarities among them to quite some extent. A specific part of a plant is seen to be more attracted towards specific part of the human body, thereby reflecting its affinity vis-à-vis gāmitva. It could be explained by some examples. The seeds of certain plants possess vṛṣya aphrodisiac attribute. That part of a plant exhibiting this property will be attracted to a particular part of the body and lead to its growth, but though due to generic concomitance (sāmānyasiddhānta) it has got the approach, its action can be completely different. For e.g., Caksusya (increasing the ability of eyes) and acaksusya (decreasing the ability of eyes) herbs (*dravya*), both are having the ability to approach to eyes. But, their action is mutually antagonistic. Similarly, vrsya and avrsya drugs acts on the same parts of the body, both have similar type of affinity, but actions are contradictory. Curd produces clog (abhisyanda) in liver including the



channels of pitta, whereas the black pepper (piper longum - pipplī) is having the ability to approach liver but it reduces the clog of the channels in liver. In this manner principle of gāmitva needs to be assiduously adhered to during the treatment of a disease using drugs. For the growth and development of smrtī, Carakācārva has enumerated eight reasons in which extreme similarity observed along with extreme dissimilarity. On seeing very obese person we recollect another obese or very lean and thin person, conversely on seeing awfully slim person another thin or obese person is remembered; this experience is comparable with the concept of gāmitva. Gāmitvais not only towards similar body constituents but dissimilar also towards body constituents. In reality khadisitā (sugar) is a causative factor of prameha, still triphalākhadisitā is given as one of combination in the treatment of prameha supporting the ability for (vyadhipratyanika– acting against disease) of triphalā to reach the dosa $d\bar{u}sya$ involved the it's pathogeneses^[24].

Metaphysical concept of gāmitva:

As per the description in various Āyurvediksamhitās, 20 types of prameha are described on the basis of pathological conditions, which are seen as deviation from normal physiological appearance of urine. Thus, prameha is a disease, rather a syndrome depicting gross deviance from the standard features of urine. As per the pathogeneses, pramehais seen to have its root in the basti. Respected sir has included Gogte the mūtrajananasamsasthā, channel utilised for the excretion of urine (mūtra mala) and mutramalāyana (the reservoir of excretory product – the urine) which certainly vitiated. With this perspective one has to always keep in view basti, in the treatment of prameha.

It is better to know the concept of sāmānya and viśesa before expounding on the metaphysical concept of gāmitva. Says Carakācārya:' Generic concomitance (sāmānyasiddhānta) always the cause of the augmentation of all the beings (whereas) the variant factor (viśesa), of (their) diminution applied' [25]. His (provided) both are commentator, Cakrapānī has further clarified by stating, 'Sāmānyais, in fact, that generic concomitance or a state of generality or similarity which is always responsible for augmentation of all the three categories viz., matter, quality and action. The generic concomitance is an



augmenting factor only when it is related to the two objects having common characteristics.'

Conclusion:

If one observes the brihadtriyī Suśruta and comprising of Caraka. Vāgbhatācārya the liquid kalpas (formulations) are more as compared to other form of formulation. anv Moreover, it is also stated that consuming warm water early morning cleanses the bladder^[26]. This cleansing or purificatory activity acts as a rasayan in prameha because it removes basic and most important causative factor kleda out of the system. Hence we can deduce that kvātha is the type of kalpnā that is most suitable for the treatment of this disorder which has kledapradhānadusvas and that needs to be excreted through basti which includes the entire system required for production of the urine $(m\bar{u}trajananasamsasth\bar{a})$ and the channel utilized for the excretion of urine(mūtra mala) and mutramalāyana (the reservoir of excretory product - the urine) are certainly vitiated^[27].

References:

[1] Baghel, D. M. (2017). Madhumeha classical Diagnosis to Managment.

Diabetes Mellitus from laboratory to practice. New Mumbai: Directorate of AYUSH Maharashtra State & Arogya Bharati. doi:17/08/2017, p 89.

- [2] Agniveśa. (1994). *Caraka Samhitā* (3rd ed., Vol. 2). (Cakrapāṇi, Ed., & R. K. Dash, Trans.) Varanasi, U.P., India: Chowkghamba Sanskrit Series Office, *śarīrasthāna6*/3.
- [3] ibid., nidāna sthāna4/4.
- [4] ibid., cikitsā sthāna 6/8.
- [5] Mehta, T. (2004). Conceptual study of shukravaha srotas and it's moolsthan vrushan in view of Charak Samhita. Government Ayurved College, Nagpur, Department of Sharir Rachana. Nagpur: Faculty of Ayurved, Nagpur University, Nagpur, p. 127.
- [6] ibid., p. 47-48.
- [7] P.K.Srivastava, R. a. (1978, August 8). Nephrology in ancient Indian system of medicine. *Indian Journal of History of Science (IJHS)*, 13(1), 28-31. Retrieved September 22, 2017, from http://insa.nic.in/UI/Journalarticle.aspx?jid=Mw==&&VID=MjMy&&IsNm=SX
 NzdWUgMQ==, p.29.
- [8] Agniveśa. (1994). *Caraka Samhitā* (3rd ed., Vol. 2). (Cakrapāṇi, Ed., & R.



- K. Dash, Trans.) Varanasi, U.P., India:Chowkghamba Sanskrit Series Office,vimānasthāna 5/8
- [10] Vāgbhaṭācārya. (1978). Aṣṭāgasaṁgraha (1st ed.). (A. P. Sharma, Ed., & P. Jha, Trans.) varanasi, U.P., India: Chaukhambha Orientalia.śarīrasthāna 5/11.
- [11] Dwarakanath, c. (1997). *Digestion* and *Metabolism in Ayurved* (2nd ed.). Varanasi, U.P., India: Krishnadas Academy, Varanasi, p. 123.
- [12] Agniveśa. (1994). *Caraka Samhitā* (3rd ed., Vol. 2). (Cakrapāṇi, Ed., & R. K. Dash, Trans.) Varanasi, U.P., India: Chowkghamba Sanskrit Series Office, *cikitsāsthāna* 6/8.
- [13] Suśruta. (2004). *Suśruta Samhita* (2nd ed., Vol. I). (P. K. Murthy, Trans.) Varanasi, U.P., India: Chaukhamba Orientalia, *nidānasthāna* 3/18-24.

- [14] P.K.Srivastava, R. a. (1978, August 8). Nephrology in ancient Indian system of medicine. *Indian Jouranal of History of Science (IJHS)*, 13(1), 28-31. Retrieved September 22, 2017, from http://insa.nic.in/UI/Journalarticle.aspx?jid=Mw==&&VID=MjMy&&IsNm=SX NzdWUgMQ==, p.29.
- [15] Suśruta. (2004). Suśruta Samhita (2nd ed., Vol. I). (P. K. Murthy, Trans.) Varanasi, U.P., India: Chaukhamba Orientalia, nidānasthāna 6/7.
- [16] Agniveśa. (1994). *Caraka Samhitā* (3rd ed., Vol. 2). (Cakrapāṇi, Ed., & R. K. Dash, Trans.) Varanasi, U.P., India: Chowkghamba Sanskrit Series Office, *nidānasthāna cakrapāṇī ṭikā* 4/3-4.
- [17] ibid., *nidānasthāna* 4/51-52.
- [18] ibid., cikitsāsthāna 6/4.
- [19] ibid., cikitsāsthāna 6/51
- [20] ibid., $cikits\bar{a}sth\bar{a}na6/5 6$.
- [21] ibid.,*cakrapāņī ţikā on cikitsāsthāna* 6/5-6.
- [22]ibid.,*cakrapāṇī ṭikā on cikitsāsthāna* 6/52.
- [23] Gogte, V. m. (2008). Dravyagunavigyan (1st ed.). Pune,



Maharashtra, India: Vaidya Mitra prakashan,p. 14.

[24] ibid., p. 8 - 9.

[25] Agniveśa. (1994). *Caraka Samhitā* (3rd ed., Vol. 2). (Cakrapāṇi, Ed., & R. K. Dash, Trans.) Varanasi, U.P., India: Chowkghamba Sanskrit Series Office, *sūtrasthāna* 1/44.

[26] śarnagdharācharya. (1985). śarnagdhara samhitā. (P. p. vidyasagar, Ed., & P. P. vidyasagar, Trans.) Varanasi, U.P., India: Krishnadas academy, p. 166.

[27] Gogte, V. m. (2008). Dravyagunavigyan (1st ed.). Pune, Maharashtra, India: Vaidya Mitra prakashan,14.

Cite this article:

Understanding Metaphysical Principle of Gāmitva in Pramehacikitsā in the view of Rachanā and KriyāŚarīra

Kshirsagar M.V. MehtaTarak

Ayurline: International Journal of Research In Indian Medicine 2017; 1(4): 1-10